Namo	e:
Mailir	ng Address:
Dayti	ime Telephone: For Clerk's Use Only
	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA, YAVAPAI COUNTY
	Case No. <u>1300CV</u>
Petiti	ioner/Plaintiff Division
	VS.
	STATEMENT TO THE COURT RE: ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION
Resp	pondent/Defendant
	uant to the Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 16(g), and under penalty of perjury, I hereby state ollowing:
1.	The parties have conferred as required by Rule 16(g)(2) [] YES [] NO
2.	Is this case subject to Compulsory Arbitration under Rule 72(b)? [] YES [] NO [Note: Pursuant to Rule 72(d), the Court shall waive the Compulsory Arbitration requirement if the parties agree to participate in a different ADR process approved by the Court.]
3.	If the case subject to Compulsory Arbitration, have the parties agreed to participate in ADR process other than Compulsory Arbitration? []YES []NO
4.	If the case is not subject to Compulsory Arbitration, have the parties agreed to participate in an ADR process? []YES []NO
5.	If the answer to 3 or 4 is YES, the parties agree to participate in the following ADR process: a) [] mediation [] binding arbitration [] early neutral evaluation [] short trial [] summary jury trial [] judge pro tem [] other
	 b) [] The parties will use a private provider. [] The parties request a program provided through the Court. [Note: Not all programs are available through the Court.]
	c) If known, the name and address of the person or company providing the ADR service is:
	d) The parties expect to complete the ADR process by: ///.
6.	The parties have not been able to agree on an ADR process. The [] Plaintiff [] Defendant believes that the following ADR process would be appropriate:
7.	The [] Plaintiff [] Defendant requests a conference to discuss ADR.
8.	The [] Plaintiff [] Defendant believes that an ADR process would not be appropriate for the following reasons:
Date: OR	: Plaintiff:
	: Defendant: